

Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 NATO 05895 01 OF 03 231905Z
ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 IO-13 ISO-00 ACDE-00 ERDE-00
CIAE-00 NSAE-00 NSCE-00 SSO-00 USIE-00 INRE-00
ERDA-05 H-01 INR-07 L-03 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01
PM-04 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 TRSE-00 NSC-05 /083 W
-----062644 232050Z /64

O 231741Z JUN 77
FM USMISSION NATO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 4412
SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE
INFO USDEL MBFR VIENNA IMMEDIATE
AMEMBASSY BONN
USMISSION GENEVA
AMEMBASSY LONDON
USNMR SHAPE BE
USCINCEUR VAIHINGEN GER

S E C R E T SECTION 01 OF 03 NATO 05895

GENEVA FOR SEABED DEL - SLOSS

E.O.11652: GDS
TAGS: PARM, NATO
SUBJECT: MBFR: DATA DISAGGREGATION: SPC MEETING JUNE 23

REF: NATO 5823 (DTG 221727Z JUN 77)

-

SUMMARY: SPC ON JUNE 23 HAD ITS FIRST DISCUSSION OF THE
DRAFT GUIDANCE TO AHG ON DATA EXCHANGE (TEXT OF ORIGINAL
DRAFT GUIDANCE IN PARA 7, REFTEL). FRG AND UK REPS HAD
INITIAL INSTRUCTIONS ON THIS TEXT. MAIN DEVELOPMENTS WERE
THAT FRG OPPOSED SIMULTANEOUS EXCHANGE OF GROUND AND AIR
MANPOWER DATA, EVEN IF EAST INSISTED, AND PROPOSED LANGU-
AGE TO AUTHORIZE AHG TO USE WITH THE EAST "IF NECESSARY"
THE INTERNAL ALLIED POSITION REJECTING NATIONAL REDUCTION
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 05895 01 OF 03 231905Z

COMMITMENTS. PARA 10 OF THIS MESSAGE CONTAINS THE TEXT OF
THE DRAFT GUIDANCE AS IT EMERGED FROM THIS MEETING. THE
FIRST PART OF THE MESSAGE DESCRIBES THE ORIGIN OF NEW
LANGUAGE. END SUMMARY.

1. FRG REP (CITRON) AT THE OUTSET OF THE MEETING SAID HE
WAS SORRY THAT HE HAD NO GREEN LIGHT ON SOLUTION OF THE

PROBLEM OF "OTHER PERSONNEL" NOT INCLUDED IN MAJOR UNITS. THE QUESTION WAS UNDER EXAMINATION BY HIS AUTHORITIES. HE HAD FAITHFULLY REPORTED THE VIEWS IN THE SPC. LUXEMBOURG REP (BLOES), ON INSTRUCTIONS, SUPPORTED SUB-DIVISION OF "OTHER PERSONNEL" BY NATIONALITY.

2. FRG REP PROPOSED THE PHRASE IN THE FINAL PORTION OF PARA 1 OF THE DRAFT GUIDANCE (SEE TEXT IN PARA 10 BELOW): "WILL INDICATE THAT WESTERN DIRECT PARTICIPANTS ARE PREPARED" TO EXCHANGE DIVISIONAL DATA. THIS WOULD REPLACE THE PHRASE: "MAY ALSO PROPOSE THAT BOTH SIDES AGREE" TO EXCHANGE SUCH DATA. FRG ALSO WANTED TO MOVE THIS PARA UP BETWEEN SUB-PARAS A AND B OF PARA 1. UK REP (HOLMER) AND DUTCH REP (MEESMAN) OPPOSED THE FRG PROPOSAL ON GROUNDS THAT EVEN MENTIONING DIVISIONAL DATA COULD SCARE OFF THE EAST, AND AHG SHOULD HAVE TACTICAL FLEXIBILITY NOT TO MENTION DIVISIONAL DATA. UK REP FOR SAME REASON BRACKETED THE WORD "SHOULD" IN THE FOLLOWING SENTENCE, AND PROPOSED "MAY" AS AN ALTERNATIVE. DANISH REP (TILLISCH) RECOMMENDED AGAINST MOVING THE FINAL PORTION OF PARA 1 BETWEEN SUB-PARAS A AND B, SINCE THIS WOULD INTERRUPT THE LOGICAL ORDER OF THE GUIDANCE. SUB-PARAS A AND B ADDRESS DISAGGREGATION OF MAJOR UNITS AND "OTHER PERSONNEL" AND ARE REQUIREMENTS AS THE FIRST STEP IN THE DISCUSSION. PLACING THE FINAL SUB-PARA OF PARA 1, ON DIVISIONAL DATA, BETWEEN THEM COULD CREATE THE IMPRESSION THAT THE WEST IS IMPOSING
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 05895 01 OF 03 231905Z

A REQUIREMENT ON DIVISIONAL DATA AT THE OUTSET. US REP (MOORE) SAID THAT ALTHOUGH WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO ACCEPT THE FRG PHRASE "WILL INDICATE...ETC.," HE WOULD AGREE WITH DANISH REP THAT IT WAS UNWISE TO INSERT THIS PORTION OF PARA 1 BETWEEN SUB-PARAS A AND B. FRG REP AGREED NOT TO CHANGE THE ORDER OF THE VARIOUS PARTS OF PARA 1. HE ALSO URGED ACCEPTANCE OF THE FRG PHRASE "WILL INDICATE...ETC.," WHICH WAS VERY SOFT, AND ONLY INFORMED THE OTHER SIDE OF WESTERN WILLINGNESS TO EXCHANGE DIVISIONAL DATA. BELGIAN REP (CROLLEN) AND ITALIAN REP (CIARRAPICO) SUPPORTED THE FRG PHRASE.

3. BEGIN COMMENT: WE BELIEVE UK AND DUTCH REPS ARE EXCESSIVELY NERVOUS ABOUT THE FRG PHRASE "WILL INDICATE...ETC.," THIS PHRASE DOES NOT CHANGE THE SENSE OF THE ORIGINAL VERSION OF THE FINAL PORTION OF PARA 1, SINCE THE ORIGINAL VERSION ALREADY PROVIDED THAT ALLIED NEGOTIATORS "SHOULD" STATE THEIR WILLINGNESS TO PROVIDE SUCH INFORMATION AT A LATER STAGE. THIS SECTION OF THE DRAFT GUIDANCE, EVEN WITH THE FRG AMENDMENT, ONLY INDICATES THAT THE WEST IS PREPARED TO EXCHANGE DIVISIONAL DATA AT SOME POINT. IT SEEMS TO US THAT THIS IS ONE ISSUE ON WHICH THE US COULD

ACCOMMODATE THE FRG BY ACCEPTING THE FRG AMENDMENT. IF THE LATTER AMENDMENT WERE ACCEPTED, THE WORD "SHOULD" INSTEAD OF "MAY" IN THE FOLLOWING SENTENCE WOULD MAKE CLEAR WE WERE TALKING ABOUT A LATER STAGE. END COMMENT. ACTION REQUESTED: GUIDANCE ON THIS POINT.

4. SPC AGREED, IN FINAL SENTENCE OF FINAL PORTION OF PARA 1, TO REPLACE "PROVIDE SUCH INFORMATION" BY "EXCHANGE SUCH INFORMATION."

5. FRG REP PROPOSED THE PHRASE AT THE END OF PARA 2:

SECRET

NNN

SECRET

PAGE 01 NATO 05895 02 OF 03 232034Z
ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 IO-13 ISO-00 ACDE-00 ERDE-00
CIAE-00 NSAE-00 NSCE-00 SSO-00 USIE-00 INRE-00
ERDA-05 H-01 INR-07 L-03 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01
PM-04 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 TRSE-00 NSC-05 /083 W
-----064019 232051Z /65

O 231741Z JUN 77

FM USMISSION NATO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 4413
SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE
INFO USDEL MBFR VIENNA IMMEDIATE
AMEMBASSY BONN
USMISSION GENEVA
AMEMBASSY LONDON
USNMR SHAPE BE
USCINCEUR VAIHINGEN GER

S E C R E T SECTION 2 OF 3 NATO 05895

"MAY AGREE TO AN EXCHANGE OF DATA ON AIR FORCE PERSONNEL AFTER THE EXCHANGE OF GROUND FORCE PERSONNEL HAS BEEN COMPLETED." HE EXPLAINED THAT HIS AUTHORITIES WANTED A STEP BY STEP APPROACH WITH RESPECT TO EXCHANGE OF AIR MANPOWER DATA, AND THEREFORE DID NOT ACCEPT THE PHRASE PROVIDING FOR A SIMULTANEOUS EXCHANGE. UK AND US REPS SUPPORTED THE ORIGINAL LANGUAGE ENABLING AHG TO AGREE TO A SIMULTANEOUS EXCHANGE IF THE EAST INSISTS.

6. IN PARA 3, ON THE DISCLAIMER, LANGUAGE FROM THE FINAL SENTENCE OF THE ORIGINAL VERSION OF PARA 3 IS MOVED UP TO THE END OF THE FIRST TIC, AT UK PROPOSAL, IN ORDER TO

ELIMINATE REPETITIOUS LANGUAGE. IN THE FIRST SENTENCE OF THE SECOND TIC, THE PHRASE "CLARIFY THE EXISTING DIVERGENCIES IN AVAILABLE FORCE DATA", AT FRG PROPOSAL, REPLACES THE ORIGINAL PHRASE "ACHIEVE PROGRESS IN DATA DISCUSSIONS". THE SECOND SENTENCE OF THE SECOND TIC INTRODUCES THE
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 05895 02 OF 03 232034Z

PHRASE "EXCEPT ON AN ILLUSTRATIVE BASIS", UPON PROPOSAL BY THE UK. UK REP POINTED OUT THAT TABLED DATA WILL IN FACT BE USED TO DISCUSS FUTURE REDUCTIONS AND LIMITATIONS BUT IN AN ILLUSTRATIVE WAY, AND THIS IS WHAT THE GUIDANCE SHOULD MAKE CLEAR. COMMENT: THIS PHRASE STRIKES US AS UNNECESSARY, AND INCONSISTENT WITH THE REST OF THE SENTENCE, WHICH IS TALKING ABOUT COMPUTATION OF FUTURE REDUCTIONS AND LIMITATIONS. RATHER THAN SIMPLE DISCUSSION OF FUTURE REDUCTIONS AND LIMITATIONS. END COMMENT. ACTION REQUESTED: GUIDANCE ON THIS PHRASE.

7. THE ENSUING BRACKETED SECTION OF PARA 3 IS FRG LANGUAGE ENABLING THE AHG, IF NECESSARY, TO MAKE CLEAR THAT THE DATA EXCHANGE IS WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO THE WESTERN POSITION OF "REJECTING" NATIONAL REDUCTION COMMITMENTS. FRG REP, IN PROPOSING THIS LANGUAGE, SAID HE WISHED VERY STRONGLY TO UNDERLINE THE PHRASE "IF NECESSARY" SINCE THE AHG WAS NOT BOUND TO REJECT PHASE II REDUCTION COMMITMENTS IMMEDIATELY, BUT ONLY WHEN NECESSARY. ITALIAN REP SUPPORTED THE FRG POSITION. US REP NOTED THAT THERE SEEMED TO BE GENERAL AGREEMENT WITH THE FRG THAT THE AHG DID NOT NEED TO USE THE INTERNAL ALLIANCE POSITION ON PHASE II REDUCTION COMMITMENTS AT THIS TIME. SINCE THIS IS THE CASE, THERE SHOULD BE NO NEW NAC GUIDANCE TO THE AHG ON PHASE II REDUCTION COMMITMENTS AT THIS TIME. THE FUTURE DEVELOPMENT OF THE WESTERN POSITION ON PHASE II REDUCTION COMMITMENTS IS AN IMPORTANT ISSUE, OF A POLICY NATURE, WHICH SHOULD BE DECIDED BY THE COUNCIL AT THE APPROPRIATE TIME. DUTCH REP SAID THE DUTCH WERE ALSO HESITANT TO BRING PHASE II REDUCTION COMMITMENTS INTO THIS GUIDANCE. THIS IS A MATTER OF SUBSTANCE, AND IF IT BECOMES NECESSARY TO USE THE INTERNAL ALLIED POSITION IN THE NEGOTIATION, THE AHG SHOULD COME BACK TO THE COUNCIL,
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 05895 02 OF 03 232034Z

AND THE COUNCIL WILL DECIDE. BELGIAN REP SAID HE HAD NO INSTRUCTIONS ON THIS POINT, BUT HE WAS ATTRACTED TO THE US VIEW.

8. PARA 4 OF THE DRAFT GUIDANCE CONTAINS AN AMENDMENT SUGGESTED BY INTERNATIONAL STAFF TO MAKE CLEAR THAT AHG CAN, AS MAY BE THE CASE, DRAW ON THE DATA SELECTIVELY. COMMENT: THIS LANGUAGE CANNOT BE CONSTRUED AS GIVING THE AHG FLEXIBILITY TO USE THE DATA ON MAJOR UNITS AND "OTHER PERSONNEL" SELECTIVELY, SINCE PARA 1 IS CLEAR THAT BOTH SIDES "WILL" PRESENT THIS DATA. THE SELECTIVITY WOULD APPLY TO DIVISIONAL DATA. END COMMENT.

9. ACTION REQUESTED: IN TIME FOR SPC MEETING MONDAY, JUNE 27, GUIDANCE ON THE DRAFT GUIDANCE, AND IN PARTICULAR AS REQUESTED IN PARAS 3 AND 6 ABOVE.

10. TEXT TRANSMITTED IN PARA 7, REFTEL IS UNCHANGED THROUGH PARA 1, SUB-PARA B. WE THUS BEGIN HERE WITH FINAL PORTION OF PARA 1 OF THE DRAFT GUIDANCE. BEGIN TEXT:

THE ALLIED NEGOTIATORS MAY ALSO PROPOSE THAT BOTH SIDES AGREE WILL INDICATE THAT WESTERN DIRECT PARTICIPANTS ARE PREPARED TO EXCHANGE DATA DOWN TO THE LEVEL OF DIVISIONS, GIVING THE SAME INFORMATION AS FOR THE MAJOR FORMATIONS MENTIONED ABOVE, INCLUDING STRENGTH FIGURES FOR INDEPENDENT COMBAT FORMATIONS. IN ANY CASE, ALLIED NEGOTIATORS SHOULD MAY STATE THEIR WILLINGNESS TO EXCHANGE SUCH INFORMATION AT A LATER STAGE IF AND TO THE EXTENT THIS PROVED TO BE NECESSARY TO OBTAIN FURTHER CLARIFICATION ON THE EXISTING DATA DISCREPANCIES.

2. ALLIED NEGOTIATORS SHOULD ALSO PROPOSE THAT ANY DISCUSSION OF DATA ON AIR MANPOWER SHOULD BE UNDERTAKEN ONLY AFTER AN EXCHANGE OF DATA ON GROUND FORCE MANPOWER HAS TAKEN PLACE. HOWEVER, IF THE EAST SHOULD REFUSE TO EXCHANGE DISAGGREGATED DATA ON GROUND FORCE PERSONNEL WITH-
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 04 NATO 05895 02 OF 03 232034Z

OUT A SIMULTANEOUS EXCHANGE OF DISAGGREGATED DATA ON AIR

SECRET

NNN

SECRET

PAGE 01 NATO 05895 03 OF 03 231914Z
ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 IO-13 ISO-00 ACDE-00 ERDE-00
CIAE-00 NSAE-00 NSCE-00 SSO-00 USIE-00 INRE-00
ERDA-05 H-01 INR-07 L-03 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01
PM-04 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 TRSE-00 NSC-05 /083 W
-----062839 232050Z /64

O 231741Z JUN 77

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 4414

SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE

INFO USDEL MBFR VIENNA IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY BONN

USMISSION GENEVA

AMEMBASSY LONDON

USNMR SHAPE BE

USCINCEUR VAIHINGEN GER

S E C R E T SECTION 03 OF 03 NATO 05895

FORCE PERSONNEL, THE ALLIED NEGOTIATORS SHOULD AGREE TO SUCH A SIMULTANEOUS EXCHANGE MAY AGREE TO AN EXCHANGE OF DATA ON AIR FORCE PERSONNEL AFTER THE EXCHANGE OF GROUND FORCE PERSONNEL HAS BEEN COMPLETED.

3. IF THE ALLIED NEGOTIATORS ARE SATISFIED THAT THE EAST IS WILLING TO ACCEDE TO AN EXCHANGE OF EASTERN AND WESTERN DATA AS PROPOSED BY THE WEST, ALLIED NEGOTIATORS SHOULD MAKE IT CLEAR THAT THE EXCHANGE WOULD BE SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:

-AS ALREADY AGREED BY BOTH SIDES, THE DISCUSSION OF DATA AND DEFINITIONS CONTINUES TO BE WITHOUT PRJUDICE TO THE OVERALL POSITION OF EITHER SIDE INCLUDING THE WESTERN POSITION OF REJECTING LIMITATIONS ON NATIONAL FORCES EXCEPT ON THOSE OF THE US AND USSR;

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 05895 03 OF 03 231914Z

-THE DETAILED FIGURES TO BE PRESENTED ARE VALID ONLY FOR THE SPECIFIC POINT IN TIME INDICATED AND ARE TABLED ONLY IN ORDER TO CLARIFY THE EXISTING DIVERGENCIES IN AVAILABLE FORCE DATA. THEREFORE, THEY CANNOT BE USED TO COMPUTE FUTURE REDUCTIONS AND/OR LIMITATIONS, EXCEPT ON AN ILLUS-TRATIVE BASIS, AND ARE CONSEQUENTLY WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO ANY DATA WHICH MIGHT FORM THE BASIS OF AN AGREEMENT.

ALLIED NEGOTIATORS SHOULD, IF NECESSARY, MAKE IT CLEAR TO THE EAST THAT ANY TABLING OF MANPOWER FIGURES WITH NATIONAL IDENTIFICATION IS WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO THE WESTERN POSI-TION OF REJECTING REDUCTION COMMITMENTS FOR NATIONAL

FORCES EXCEPT FOR THOSE OF THE US AND USSR. COUNCIL GUIDANCE CONTAINED IN PARAGRAPH 28 OF C-M(73)83 REMAINS IN FORCE.

(FOR THE INFORMATION OF THE AHG ONLY: COUNCIL GUIDANCE CONTAINED IN PARAGRAPHS 34 OF C-M(73)83 AND 3 (LAST SENTENCE OF C-M(75)75 AS WELL AS IN PARAGRAPH 28 OF C-M(73)83 REMAINS IN FORCE.)

4. ONCE A SATISFACTORY AGREEMENT ON THE APPROACH TO A DATA EXCHANGE IS REACHED, ALLIED NEGOTIATORS MAY PRESENT OR, AS MAY BE THE CASE, DRAW ON THE DATA CONTAINED IN ANNEX I (GROUND FORCE MANPOWER) AND, EVENTUALLY, ANNEX II (AIR FORCE MANPOWER).

END TEXT.
STREATOR

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 23-Jun-1977 12:00:00 am
Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 22 May 2009
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977NATO05895
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: RR
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: n/a
Format: TEL
From: NATO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770624/aaaaauhw.tel
Line Count: 349
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Message ID: 24e9ce77-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION ACDA
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 7
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 77 NATO 5823 (DTG 221727Z JUN 77)
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 28-Oct-2004 12:00:00 am
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 2049300
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: MBFR: DATA DISAGGREGATION: SPC MEETING JUNE 23
TAGS: PARM, NATO
To: SECSTATE WASHDC WASHDC MULTIPLE
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/24e9ce77-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
22 May 2009
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009